In a world that prizes medical science and blames illness on factors such as genes, viruses, bacteria, or poor diet, certain perplexing cases stand out. Consider babies in orphanages who have had all their physical needs met, yet fail to develop because they lack a strong connection to another person. Or the roughly 200 women in Cambodia with perfectly healthy eyes who became blind after they were forced to watch as loved ones were tortured and killed. Or Mr. Wright, a man whose tumors “melted like snowballs on a hot stove” when he was given Krebiozen, an experimental drug that he believed would cure his cancer, but was later declared to be worthless by the American Medical Association.

These cases underscore the powerful idea that the mind matters in sickness and health. Judging by the millions of Americans who use mind-body modalities such as yoga, meditation, qigong, and massage to fight diseases like cancer, it’s an idea that many accept.

But why do we believe in the mind-body link in the first place? Anne Harrington, professor of the history of science and chair of the department, says we’re only partially convinced by laboratory studies revealing which of these therapies do and don’t work. “Science is only part of what has created mind-body medicine and sustains it today,” she notes. In her recent history, *The Cure Within*, she argues that we’re also persuaded by stories, especially a key set of narratives that humans have told about the mind and body through history. These stories, she says, help us make sense of complicated experiences like illness and suffering.

For example, the cultural power of some mind-body ideas becomes clear when you trace them back to their roots in religion. Groups such as the Christian Scientists drew from the New Testament the message that strong faith can yield miracle cures, and Harrington shows how this led eventually to self-help bestsellers about the therapeutic effects of positive thinking.

In the secular arena, she continues, post-World War II anxieties produced stories about the ways our minds leave us vulnerable to illness, including “the idea that we live in a world that we weren’t made to endure, that taxes our energies beyond our capacity.” At the center of that narrative she places physical and emotional stress, a relatively new concept that was formulated near the end of the 1940s by the Czech biochemist Hans Selye, who borrowed the term from metallurgy. The concept subsequently gained traction as psychiatrists studied traumatized soldiers and, later, overworked executives, especially those with Type A personalities (thought to be prone to heart attacks). During the decades since then, Harrington says that concern about stress and the illnesses it may trigger have escalated.

But these laments centered on modern life have also yielded some hopeful mind-body stories: “efforts to narrate our way out of the darkness,” in Harrington’s words. For instance, one type of narrative maintains that we can stay healthy or even heal ourselves through strong relationships. Another set of stories finds promise in the healing practices of Eastern cultures, an interest that burgeoned with the Beatles’ trip to India to seek the spiritual guidance of the Maharsi Mahesh Yogi; was sustained by the late 1970s discovery—by Mind/Body Medical Institute associate professor of medicine Herbert Benson—of the meditation-derived “relaxation response” to counter stress; and continues to be the subject of Harvard research: for example, scientists are studying MRI scans of the brains of meditating Tibetan monks.

Harrington says that it’s useful to con-
A musty old book may have more than an unpleasant odor—it may have a disease. So collections conservator Ethel Hellman asks colleagues in the circulation and acquisition departments of Harvard libraries to keep their eyes (and noses) open for books with discoloration or unusual scents, the telltale signs of mold. But by the time a book begins to smell, the fungus is already damaging its host. “All we have now is: you see it or you smell it,” says Hellman. The problem is that there hasn’t been a way to find the dormant mold before it spreads.

McKay professor of applied biology Ralph Mitchell is working on a test capable of detecting mold while its spores are still invisible—what he calls an early diagnosis. “These are infections,” he explains. “They will infect the other books and the next thing you know, you’ll have a bunch of moldy books.” The attacker is airborne. Fungal spores multiply under warm or damp conditions. They feed on the cellulose in paper and beget new spores that can then disperse into the air and settle on other books: what begins as a local-ized problem can theoretically ruin an entire collection. Hellman sees mold as a major threat to the health of library holdings. Last year, the conservation lab (located beneath the steps of Widener) treated some 82,500 books. About one in a